Biarylpyrimidines: a new class of ligand for high-order DNA recognition[†]

Peter M. Murphy,^{*a*} Victoria A. Phillips,^{*a*} Sharon A. Jennings,^{*ab*} Nichola C. Garbett,^{*c*} Jonathan B. Chaires,^{*c*} Terence C. Jenkins^{*b*} and Richard T. Wheelhouse^{**a*}

^a School of Pharmacy, University of Bradford, Bradford, West Yorkshire, UK BD7 1DP.

E-mail: r.t.wheelhouse@bradford.ac.uk; Fax: 44 1274 235600; Tel: 44 1274 234710

^b Yorkshire Cancer Research Laboratory of Drug Design, Tom Connors Cancer Research Centre, University of Bradford, Bradford, West Yorkshire, UK BD7 1DP

^c Department of Biochemistry, University of Mississippi Medical Center, 2500 North State Street, Jackson, Mississippi, 39216-4505, USA

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 12th February 2003, Accepted 5th March 2003 First published as an Advance Article on the web 9th April 2003

Biarylpyrimidines bearing ω -aminoalkyl substituents have been designed as ligands for high-order DNA structures: spectrophotometric, thermal and competition equilibrium dialysis assays showed that changing the functional group for substituent attachment from thioether to amide switches the structural binding preference from triplex to tetraplex DNA; the novel ligands are non-toxic and moderate inhibitors of human telomerase.

High-order (three- and four-stranded) nucleic acid secondary structures are important targets in drug design¹ and ligands with precisely-defined DNA structure selectivity have a range of potential therapeutic applications.² In contrast to biphenyl ring systems, 4,6-diphenylpyrimidine **1a** is planar in its crystal form and binds modestly to duplex DNA by intercalation.³ Moreover, the width of the putative intercalating portion of the molecule (13.2 Å) is a closer match to the cross-sections of tetraplex (10.8–13.0 Å)⁴ or triplex (7 or 9–12.4 Å)⁵ nucleic acid structures, rather than to DNA duplexes which offer threading distances of 5.2–7.3 Å. It was also envisaged that the planar chromophore could be extended by substitution of amides for the thioether groups (i.e. **1**–**2**) and thereby further direct nucleic acid-binding preferences towards higher-order structures.

Biarylpyrimidines have hitherto been prepared by condensation of 1,3-diketones with formamide⁶ or sequential aryl lithium



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: (i) $Pd(Ph_3P)_4/K_2CO_3/PhMe:MeOH 9:1/\Delta$; (ii) $NaOH/H_2O/\Delta$, HCl, 100%; (iii) $NaSEt/DMF/\Delta$; (iv) AcOH:THF:H₂O 4:2:1, 45 °C, 4 h; (v) Z(CH₂)₂Cl/NaOH/EtOH, \leq 87%, HBr; (vi) Z(CH₂)₂NH₂/PyBOP/Et₃N/CH₂Cl₂/RT, HBr, \leq 70 %.

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: experimental details of UV melting studies and example spectroscopic and analytical data. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b3/b301554h/ additions to pyrimidine;³ however, the authors' experience with Suzuki arylation of porphyrins⁷ suggested that arylpyrimidines could be similarly prepared. Thus a concise biarylpyrimidine synthesis was developed that allowed the ready introduction of structural diversity (Scheme 1). 4,6-Diiodopyrimidine⁸ was reacted with arylboronic acids in the presence of Pd(Ph₃P)₄ to afford substituted biaryl pyrimidines **3a–e** directly in up to 90% isolated yields. The amides **2** were prepared by hydrolysis of ester **3a** to acid **3f** and subsequent PyBOP-mediated coupling with the side-chains. Access to the thioethers, **1**, was *via* thiophenol **3g** obtained from nucleophilic displacement of bromoarene **3b** with NaSEt.⁹ Compounds bearing basic sidechains were isolated as hydrobromide salts.[‡]

The effects of the thioethers **1a–f** and amides **2a–g** on the thermal denaturation¹⁰ of duplex and triplex DNA were determined; $\Delta T_{\rm m}$ values are presented in Table 1. The melting curve for poly(dA)·[poly(dT)]₂ triplex DNA in the absence of ligand showed two sequential thermal transitions: $T_{\rm m}^{-1} = 54.2$ °C (triplex \rightarrow duplex + single strand) and $T_{\rm m}^2 = 76.8$ °C (melt to single strands). The thioethers **1** exhibited selective stabilisation of triplex DNA, increasing the temperature of the triplex melting transition ($\Delta T_{\rm m}^{-1} \leq 20$ °C) whilst little affecting poly(dA)·poly(dT) duplex melting ($\Delta T_{\rm m}^2 \leq 3$ °C). The presence of the charged ammonium groups in the side-chains was essential for triplex stabilisation: the hydroxyethyl (**1c**) and methoxyethyl (**1d**) derivatives showed no evidence of interaction with either triplex or duplex DNA, despite the possibilities for DNA–ligand hydrogen bonding. The corresponding amides **2c,d** showed similarly negligible effects. The extent of

Table 1 $\Delta T_{\rm m}$ values (°C)^{*a*} determined for calf thymus duplex (CT) or triplex DNA with ligands **1a–f** and **2a–g**.

Compound	$\frac{\text{CT DNA}^{b}}{\Delta T_{m}}$ Duplex melt	$poly(dA) \cdot [poly(dT)]_2^c$	
		$\Delta T_{\rm m}^{1}$ Triplex melt	$\Delta T_{\rm m}^2$ Duplex melt
1a	12.2	10.5	0.3
1b	10.1	20.2	1.2
1c	0.8	4.0	0.6
1d	1.3	1.7	0.2
1e	1.6	13.9	1.1
1f	9.7	17.4	2.8
2a	11.2	0.7	7.7
2b	12.5	5.7	8.1
2c	1.4	0.8	1.2
2d	0.1	0.8	0.8
2e	2.3	-1.3^{d}	5.1
2f	13.9	-5.0^{d}	14.2
2g	13.8	-5.3^{d}	13.7

^{*a*} $\Delta T_{\rm m} = T_{\rm m}$ (DNA + ligand) $- T_{\rm m}$ (DNA). ^{*b*} 50 µM DNA (in base pairs), 20 µM ligand; $T_{\rm m}$ for CT DNA = 68.3 °C. ^{*c*} 50 µM triplex (in base triads), 25 µM ligand. ^{*d*} Ligand destabilises the DNA triplex; see text for $T_{\rm m}^{-1}$ and $T_{\rm m}^{2}$ values in the absence of ligand. All data are mean values (±0.1 °C).

10.1039/b301554h

ЫÖ



Fig. 1 (a) Thermal melting curves for $poly(dA) \cdot [poly(dT)]_2$ triplex DNA in the absence and presence of amide ligands **2b**, **2e**–**g** (DNA: 50 μ M in base triads; ligands: 25 μ M. Competition equilibrium dialysis data for compounds (b) **1b** and (c) **2b**; for a full description of the nucleic acid structures used, see reference 11

triplex stabilisation was dependent upon the nature of the basic side-chains with the bulkier and more hydrophobic groups being more effective (e.g. piperidinyl, **1f**: $\Delta T_{m^1} = 17.4$ °C; diethylamino, **1b**: $\Delta T_{m^1} = 20.2$ °C) although no correlation with the pK_a of the basic amino group (**1a** < **1e** < **1b**) was apparent.

Minor structural alteration to the amides 2, resulted in a significantly different pattern of $\Delta T_{\rm m}$ values. The simple dialkylamino compounds, e.g. 2a, induced a small stabilisation of poly(dA) poly(dT) duplex ($\Delta T_m^2 \le 8.1$ °C) with little effect on triplex melting (mostly $\Delta T_{\rm m}^{-1} \leq 1$ °C). Spectrophotometric titration of dimethylamino compounds 1a or 2a with poly-(dA)·poly(dT) duplex or poly(dA)·[poly(dT)]₂ triplex confirmed the lack of interaction of amide 2a with the threestranded DNA structure: hypochromicity and sharp isosbestic points were observed in all titrations except in the case of amide 2a with triplex-form DNA. Remarkable structure-selective differences were seen for the amide ligands bearing saturated heterocyclic side chains (2e-g), see Figure 1 panel (a). Ligands 2f,g showed closely similar effects: a marked stabilisation of poly(dA)·poly(dT) duplex ($\Delta T_m^2 \approx 14$ °C) accompanied by a specific destabilisation of the triplex structure ($\Delta T_{\rm m}^{\ 1} \approx -5 \,^{\circ}{\rm C}$) that preserved the shape of the biphasic melting curve. An intermediate effect was observed for the morpholino derivative 2e. The structural basis for these differential behaviours and the selective destabilisation of triplex DNA is uncertain and the subject of continuing investigations.

The structure preferences and relative binding affinities were further investigated by competitive equilibrium dialysis.11 Figure 1 panels (b) and (c) show data obtained for compounds 1b and 2b. These results broadly confirmed that neither ligand family had a strong affinity for any nucleic acid duplex; as anticipated, preferential interactions with high-order structures were evident. In agreement with the thermal and spectrophotometric data, thioether **1b** showed a strong preference for triplex DNA (27-fold greater than for the natural duplex, CT DNA). Significantly less ligand bound to triplex RNA, indicating that at least part of the bound ligand (probably the pendant side chains) must align in the grooves of the DNA triplex-an accommodation thwarted by the 2'-hydroxyl groups in the all-RNA structure.⁵ In contrast, the amide **2b** bound more strongly with tetraplex DNA structures (Figure 1, panel (c)) but was less discriminating in its recognition profile. Uncharged compounds 1c,d and 2c,d showed greatly reduced affinity for nucleic acids but the structure preferences generally resembled those of their dimethylamino counterparts. In both series, variation of the simple dialkyamino groups only influenced binding affinity (e.g. $Z = NMe_2 > NEt_2$) whilst the overall selectivity was unaffected. However, compounds bearing saturated heterocyclic side-chains, showed distinctly different patterns of binding preferences, in agreement with their anomalous thermal melting behaviour.

In conclusion, substituted biarylpyrimidines present an intriguing platform for the design of ligands to recognise highorder nucleic acid structures. Furthermore, a structural switch has been devised which alters binding preference for triplex or tetraplex DNA forms. No compounds examined showed appreciable cytotoxicity in a range of human tumour cell lines (IC₅₀ \geq 100 µM), consistent with only weak affinity for duplexform DNA. As expected for tetraplex-binding ligands, moderate inhibition of human telomerase was found (IC₅₀ \geq 10 µM).§

Notes and references

 \ddagger Satisfactory spectroscopic and analytical data were obtained for all compounds. See ESL \ddagger

§ This work was supported by the EPSRC (grant GR/N 37605 to RTW and TCJ), Yorkshire Cancer Research (to TCJ), National Cancer Institute (grant CA35635 to JBC) and an EPSRC CASE studentship with Enact Pharma plc. Mass spectra were obtained from the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Service Centre, University of Wales, Swansea.

- T. C. Jenkins, *Current Med. Chem.*, 2000, **7**, 99–115; H. Arthanari and P. H. Bolton, *Chem. Biol.*, 2001, **8**, 221–230; J.-L. Mergny and C. Hélène, *Nature Med.*, 1998, **4**, 1366–1367; C. L. Grand, H. Han, R. M. Munoz, S. Weitman, D. D. Von Hoff, L. H. Hurley and D. J. Bearss, *Mol. Cancer Ther.*, 2002, **1**, 565–573.
- T. de Lange and T. Jacks, *Cell*, 1999, **96**, 273–275; E. H. Blackburn, *Nature*, 1991, **350**, 569–573; S. S. Smith, A. Laayoun, R. G. Lingeman, D. J. Baker and J. Ridley, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 1994, **243**, 143–150; K. Usdin and K. J. Woodford, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 1995, **23**, 4202–4209; A. Siddiqui-Jain, C. L. Grand, D. J. Bearss and L. H. Hurley, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2002, **99**, 11593–11598.
- 3 W. D. Wilson, L. Strekowski, F. A. Tanious, R. A. Watson, J. L. Mokrosz, A. Strekowska, G. D. Webster and S. Neidle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 8292–8299.
- 4 Y. Wang and D. J. Patel, Structure, 1993, 1, 263–282.
- 5 A. N. Lane and T. C. Jenkins, *Current Org. Chem.*, 2001, 5, 845–870; V. N. Soyfer and V. N. Potaman, *Triple-helical Nucleic Acids*, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- 6 H. Bredereck, R. Gompper and G. Morlock, *Chem. Ber.*, 1957, **90**, 942–951; C. G. Herbert, R. G. Bass, K. A. Watson and J. W. Connell, *Macromol.*, 1996, **29**, 7709–7716.
- 7 D.-F. Shi, R. T. Wheelhouse, D. Sun and L. H. Hurley, J. Med. Chem., 2001, 44, 4509–4523; D.-F. Shi and R. T. Wheelhouse, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, 43, 9341–9342.
- 8 E. Boucher, M. Simard and J. D. Wuest, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 1408–1412.
- 9 L. Testaferri, M. Tiecco, M. Tingoli and D. Chianelli, *Synthesis Commun.*, 1983, 751–755; L. Testaferri, M. Tingoli and M. Tiecco, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1980, **21**, 3099–3100.
- 10 A. W. McConnaughie and T. C. Jenkins, J. Med. Chem., 1995, 38, 3488–3501; I. Haq, J. E. Ladbury, B. Z. Chowdhry and T. C. Jenkins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 10693–10701.
- 11 J. S. Ren and J. B. Chaires, *Biochemistry*, 1999, **38**, 16067–16075; J. S. Ren and J. B. Chaires, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 424–425; J. S. Ren and J. B. Chaires, *Meth. Enzymol.*, 2001, **340**, 99–108.